

Holland+Knight

Issues Prior to Alternatives Analysis	
<ul> <li>• What are the other objectives of the community?</li> <li>- What is the regional vision for the project?</li> <li>- How does a project fit into land use and development goals for the community?</li> <li>- Is the region prepared to amend land use plans and regional policies to emphasize job and population growth in the project corridor?</li> <li>- What is the fiscal and political climate in the community towards considering a transit investment?</li> <li>• ) willing to support the project through an arduous and time-consuming process?</li> <li>Holland+Knight</li> </ul>	
Issues for Alternatives Analysis	
What source(s) of funds will be used to pay for the AA?  AA is no longer an eligible activity under Section 5309 Regions will have to use either planning funds or funding from the \$25 M annually AA program  When was the last time that the travel demand model was updated?  Allocation of population, employment and housing are politically charged issues in a region  Most models are built around highways and have to be modified to identify transit riders  Holland+Knight	
Issues for Alternatives Analysis	
If you are you looking at a freight railroad corridor, consider the following  Is the freight railroad a Class I or Class III railroad?  Is the freight railroad right-of-way a main line or branch line?  What are the current and proposed service levels?  What is the physical width of the freight railroad right-of-way?  More expensive and more difficult to secure access to a freight railroad corridor than expected	
Holland+Knight 6	

Issues for Alternatives Analysis	
<ul> <li>If you considering a city street, consider the following:</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Do you know where the public utilities are located and who will pay for relocation?</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>What type of development is currently located in the corridor?</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Residential, commercial and retail each raise a different set of issues</li> </ul>	
<ul><li>Developers/neighborhoods open to redevelopment?</li></ul>	
<ul> <li>Are parcels available for stations, park-and-ride lots, power stations and at what cost?</li> </ul>	
Holland+Knight ,	
Issues for Alternatives Analysis	
Population and employment density in project corridor	
<ul> <li>Is the proposed corridor already used as a travel corridor?</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Is population growth and job growth expected to occur in the proposed corridor?</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>What steps is the region prepared to take to encourage residential, commercial and/or retail to occur in the corridor?</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>What low-income or minority populations/neighborhoods are being served by the project?</li> </ul>	
Holland+Knight s	
,	
Issues for Alternatives Analysis	
Environmental Issues	
- How many property takes are required and what are the extent of the takes?	
<ul> <li>Two-edged sword as this can be costly but strategic takes will allow the project sponsor to foster future development opportunities</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Are there any obvious mitigation issues, such as wetlands, rivers or streams, or historic areas, that the project must address?</li> </ul>	
• Engaging in Public Outreach	
– Early and often	
<ul> <li>Assemble advisory committee of citizens, elected officials and business leaders to be project advocates</li> </ul>	
Holland+Knight ,	

Issues for Alternatives Analysis	
What is the financial condition of the transit authority?	
<ul> <li>Can't rob Peter (buses) to pay Paul (fixed guideway investment)</li> </ul>	
- Ensure adequate funds for both capital for the fixed guideway project and operational support for the expanded system	
- What types of financing vehicles are currently available?	
<ul> <li>Will either a local vote or an action by the State Legislature be required to secure capital support the project and/or to operate expanded system?</li> </ul>	
Holland+Knight 10	
Why Does All This Matter?	
. ETA is vaising the having advancement from	
<ul> <li>FTA is raising the bar for advancement from alternatives analysis to preliminary engineering</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>FTA expecting locality to select their Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA) and then subject the LPA to higher level of analysis</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>FTA feeling Congressional pressure to make it more difficult for projects to advance</li> </ul>	
• Key criteria for FTA are project cost-effectiveness, land use, financial plan and economic development	
<ul> <li>FTA must evaluate each of these criteria on a five-level scale and develop an overall project rating</li> </ul>	
Holland+Knight 11	
Why Does All This Matter?	
What must a project sponsor consider?	
<ul> <li>Analysis includes running the project through Summit, developing firmer project costs and initiating a Risk Assessment</li> </ul>	
Risk Assessment identifies all project uncertainties and then sets forth a plan for resolving those issues	
Cost to acquire property	
Contingency for project cost estimates	
Schedule uncertainties	
Amenability of freight railroad to negotiate	
Holland+Knight 12	

Federal Funding		
Small Starts     Projects seeking less than \$7 project cost below \$250 milli     Intended to have a reduced p     New Starts     Projects seeking more than \$	s requirements view criteria once regulations for finalized  5 million section 5309 and total on project review process	
New Starts/Small Star	ts	
New Starts	Small Starts	
Project must be authorized for	Project must be authorized for	
final design and construction  • FTA must approve project for advancement from AA to PE and from PE to final design and construction  • FTA will rate projects – low, medium-low, medium, medium-high or high	construction  • FTA must approve project for advancement from AA to project development and construction  • FTA will rate projects – low, medium-low, medium, medium-high, high	
To advance to final design, project must receive a medium, medium-high or high overall rating	To advance to construction, project must receive medium, medium-high or high	
Holland+Knight	14	
New Starts/Small Star	ts	
New Starts	Small Starts	
FTA enters into an full funding grant agreement     Grant decision based on:	FTA enters into a project grant agreement     Grant decision based on:	
Results of AA and PE Justified based on a comprehensive review of mobility benefits, environmental benefits, cost-effectiveness, operating efficiencies, economic development effects, and public transportation supportive land use policies and future patterns Supported by an acceptable degree of financial commitment	Results of planning and AA  Justified based on review of public transit supportive land use policles, cost effectiveness and effect on local economic development; and  Supported by an acceptable degree of local financial commitment	
Holland+Knight	15	

New Starts/Small Starts	
New Starts     Project Justification     Results of AA and PE     Reliability of forecasting methods used to estimate costs     Direct and indirect costs of relevant alternatives     Consider range of factors such as improved mobility, air pollution, and congestion relief     Degree to which project increases mobility or promotes economic development     Population density and ridership     Technical capability to construct the project  Holland+Knight	
New Starts	
• Financial Plan	
Provide for reasonable contingency to cover unanticipated cost increases	
– Each proposed local share is stable, reliable and available	
- Local resources are available to recapitalize and operate system	
Evaluation of Financial Plan     Reliability of forecasting methods	
- Existing grant commitments	
Degree to which monies are dedicated to project	
- Debt	
– Degree of overmatch	
Holland+Knight 17	
Small Starts	
- Project Construction Crant Agreement	
Project Construction Grant Agreement     Scope of project	
- Estimated net project cost	
- Construction schedule	
- Maximum amount of funding to be obtained	
- Obligation schedule of Federal funds	
- Non-Federal sources	
Holland+Knight 18	

Small Starts	
Corridor-Based Bus project	
<ul> <li>Substantial portion of project operates in ROW dedicated for public transit use</li> </ul>	
- Substantial investment in corridor as demonstrated by:	
Park-and-ride lots	
• Stations	
<ul> <li>Arrival and departure signage</li> <li>ITS technology</li> </ul>	
Traffic signal priority	
Off-board fare collection	
Advanced bus technology	
Holland+Knight 19	
Implementation Process	
Annual New Starts Guidance	
- Draft available in January 2006	
<ul><li>- Finalized by May 2006 for project submissions</li><li>New Starts/Small Starts Regulations</li></ul>	
- ANPRM for Small Starts - January 2006	
- NPRM - Summer 2006	
- Final - Summer 2007	
• FTA listening sessions	
<ul> <li>February 15<sup>th</sup> and 16<sup>th</sup> in San Francisco</li> <li>March 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> in Dallas</li> </ul>	
– March 9 <sup>th</sup> and 10 <sup>th</sup> in Washington, DC	
Holland+Knight 20	
Project Justification Criteria	
• Land use, economic development and cost-	
effectiveness are to receive comparable weight  - Cost-effectiveness (CE) – ridership, capital and operating	
costs and travel time	
<ul> <li>Compare Locally Preferred Alternative to Baseline Project</li> <li>Incremental difference in dollar value of travel time savings</li> </ul>	
determines if a a project is cost effective	
<ul> <li>As of April 2005, FTA required a "medium" CE to be recommended for funding</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Previously a "medium" or "medium-high" land use could offset "medium-low" on CE</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Believe guidelines for FY 08 and Final Rule must reflect this change in emphasis</li> </ul>	
Holland+Knight 21	

Land Use/Economic Development	
Local and regional land use plans     Distinguish between existing conditions and expectations from implementation of plans and policies	
Documentation of station area planning efforts	
<ul> <li>Distinguish between station area and corridor; and municipal and regional plans and policies</li> </ul>	
Parking policies and pricing strategies	
<ul> <li>Employment, housing and population in CBD, corridor and station areas</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Zoning ordinances and station area designs and supporting market studies</li> </ul>	
• Tools and incentives available to influence development	
Holland+Knight 22	
Change Proposed for FY 08	
Expected Change in Development	
<ul> <li>Development potential * Transit-supportive plans, policies and actions * Development Climate</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Development Potential – Credits/Demerits based on development and redevelopment opportunities, barriers to development (land assembly, clean-up) and existing uses,</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Transit-supportive land use – existing and proposed plans; agency commitment to station area planning and joint development; plans and policies for pedestrian</li> </ul>	
access, urban design, parking and density; past performance	
Holland+Knight 23	
Hottaliu Kiligiti 23	
Changes Proposed for FY 2008	
Changes Proposed for Pr 2000	
• Expected Change in Development (cont.)	
- Development Climate - economic indicators of economy,	
station area market study, approvals for development, ' rents and occupancy rates, employment and population growth projections	
• Expected Transportation Impact	
- Transit Accessibility measure – user benefits per unit of station area development	
<ul> <li>Accessibility improvement – user benefits/station area employment + user benefits/station area population</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Station area population and employment from MPO forecast</li> </ul>	
Holland+Knight 24	

Areas in Need of Input	
Congress concerned that all projects merge to the middle and receive a "medium" rating for land use  TA cooking to develop guantifiable measures that	
<ul> <li>FTA seeking to develop quantifiable measures that demonstrate clear differences between projects for both land use and economic development</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Identify those measures that capture the most useful information</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Should the measures for land use and economic development be different for larger new starts projects than small starts projects?</li> </ul>	
Need help to reestablish the importance of land use and economic development in all phases of project advancement and funding	
• Measures need to be predictive and able to be replicated throughout the US	
Holland+Knight 25	
Areas in Need of Input	
SAFETEA-LU allows for either a corridor or subarea focus	
- Subarea analysis is new and undefined	
<ul> <li>Provides an opportunity to engage in an analysis that looks at a district rather than a corridor or region</li> </ul>	
- Subarea focus could be very important for streetcars	
• Role of regional land use if a subarea analysis is employed?	
<ul> <li>FTA requires project sponsors to have build and baseline projects include same assumptions</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Communities should be able to make land use decisions predicated on a specific investment that wouldn't be include in the baseline project</li> </ul>	
Halland Majert	
Holland+Knight 26	
Areas in Need of Input	
Wrestling with how to measure economic development	
Possible Measures	
<ul> <li>Show higher rents, densities, approved building permits, etc. in a corridor based on the streetcar?</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Approval of density bonuses, approved developer commitments, approved TIF, BAD or other financing mechanisms?</li> </ul>	
- Open to suggestions for other relevant measures	
Holland-Knight	
Holland+Knight 27	

Conclusion	
	•
<ul> <li>Important to set out a process that raises and addresses all issues up front</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Don't want to repeat the process and risk loss of community support</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Cutting corners can cost a project sponsor community support and credibility with FTA</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Helps get key stakeholders engaged and builds community support</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Conclusion is a well thought out project that addresses the Purpose and Need</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Understand that process is changing and be prepared to respond to those changes</li> </ul>	
Holland+Knight 28	