What Is Wound Classification? Jennifer Zinn, RN, MSN, CNS-BC, CNOR Vangela Swofford, RN, BSN Why Is Wound Class Important? om line - the OR documentation needs to be accurate. •COMMUNICATION is ESSENTIAL •Potential future issues: never events, pay-for-performance tied to reimbursement Objectives ■ Discuss the purpose of documenting wound classification **■** Define the classes of Wound Classification **■** Discuss the impact of inaccurate documentation of wound classification **■** Discuss nursing interventions that effect wound classification ■ Discuss QI project development

History

- National Research Council Study 1964 ultraviolet light in the OR
- Designed to describe the degree of bacterial load/infection present at the initiation of surgery
- Incorporated into the CDC NNIS (National Nosocomial Infection Study) 1985

Wound Class Basics

- Classification of wounds involves "point of care" documentation
- Snapshot of surgical field at that time
- Perioperative decisions are based on the clinical/qualitative data at the time of the assessment in the OR

WC Basics

- "prediction rule"
- Identify the probability of infection at time of surgery so one can identify who is at increased risk for postoperative occurrences
- Identifies 'outliers' as well as documentation issues

-				
_				
_				
_				
_				
_				
_				
_				
_				
_				
_				
_				
_				
-				

Infection Risk per Classification

- Class 1 / Clean: <2%
- Class 2 / Clean-Contaminated: 4-12%
- Class 3 / Contaminated: 10-15%
- Class 4 / Dirty: 25-40%

Per NSQIP presentation by Lynn Devaney Massachusetts General Hospital

Class 1: CLEAN

- Uninfected surgical wounds in which no inflammation is encountered and the respiratory, alimentary, genital, or urinary/vaginal tracts are not entered.
- Clean wounds are closed primarily and do not involve normally colonized areas.

Class 1: CLEAN

- Hernia
- **■** Exploratory Laparotomy
- Mastectomy
- Vascular Bypass
- Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm
- Non-penetrating blunt trauma
- **■** Thyroidectomy

Class 1: CLEAN

- SSI risk is deemed minimal (<2%)
- Usually originates from skin contamination such as staph epidermis or possibly staph aureus

Class 2: CLEAN/CONTAMINATED

- Operative wounds in which the respiratory, alimentary, genital, biliary or urinary tract is entered under controlled conditions and no unusual contamination (a colonized viscous)
- No major break in technique is encountered
- "separate class for the Respiratory/GI tract"

Class 2: CLEAN/CONTAMINATED

- **■** Colectomy
- TURP
- Nephrectomy
- **■** Lung lobectomy
- Hysterectomy
- Cholecystectomy for stones or chronic inflammation only

Class 2: CLEAN/CONTAMINATED

- SSI risk ~ 4 12%
- Most common contaminants are endogenous bacteria from within the patient
- Separate class for the Respiratory/GI tract alone and not considered a 'step down' from wound class 1 (clean)

Class 3: CONTAMINATED

- Open, accidental wounds
- Operations with major breaks in technique
- **■** Gross spillage from the GI tract
- Incisions that encounter nonpurulent, acute inflammation
- Absence of obvious infection

Class 3: CONTAMINATED

- Appendectomy for acute appendicitis
- Dropped instrument into field/broken glove
- Necrosis without infection
- Infarcted bowel
- Cholecystectomy with acute inflammation
- **■** Bile spillage

Class 3: CONTAMINATED ■ SSI risk ~ 10 - 15% ■ Absence of obvious infection **■** Contaminants are introduced by soilage of the surgical field Class 4: DIRTY/INFECTED ■ Wounds with retained, devitalized tissue ■ Perforated viscera **■** Existing clinical infection in field (pus/purulence) ■ Penetrating wounds of > 4 hours duration before treatment Class 4: DIRTY/INFECTED ■ Ruptured appendectomy ■ Appendectomy with pus /abscess ■ I&D of perirectal abscess **■** Compound, open fracture ■ Perforated bowel ■ Perforated gastric ulcer

	Class 4: DIRTY/INFECTED ■ SSI rate ~ 25-40% ■ Pathogens are usually of the existing infection ■ Unusual or non flora pathogens	
II	American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Project (ACS NSQIP)	
i	Vangela Swofford, RN	
	NSQIP Overview	
	 The first nationally validated, risk-adjusted, outcomes-based program to measure and improve the quality of surgical care. Monitors 30-day risk-adjusted outcomes. 	
	 Monitors 30-day risk-adjusted outcomes. Currently 243 participating sites 	
	MCHS joined the project Spring 2006	
П		

Data Collection Process

- Case selection:
 - -40 cases selected each 8-day cycle
 - -General and vascular surgeries only
 - -Potential for expansion to multispecialty model

22

Drilling down on results

No Links:

campus

OR

surgeon

Wound Classification breakdown
Existing issue with incorrect wound class

QI Project Development

- Background
- Flatten Knowledge
- Policy: Verification of wound class with surgeon at end of case
- PICIS
- Goal: at end of Q4 <5% discrepancy

	_		
	_		

Staff Education	
I	
 Inservice to all OR nursing staff (with continuing education credit) system-wide 	
Timeline:1 month	
■Pocket guides	
Laminated WC chart posted in all ORs	
	-
Audit Tool	1
Quality Data Analyst meeting	
Access Database Program Imports all cases from the operative journal from all sites	
from all sites	
Randomly selects 10% of charts for audit per specialty per facility	
Allows for entry of audit findings and provides summary of results	
provides summary of results	
Results	
Ⅱ	
Audit Summary Report	
Continuation of audit next year	
with 5% audit rate	
	-
1	

Nursing Interventions	
 Sterile Technique	
 Documentation	
Communication	
[·	
Key Words in Wound Classification	
Wound Classification	
Inflammation Necrosis	
Pus Perforation	
Break in sterile technique *All increase WC to a Class 3 or 4	
Γ	1
REFERENCES	
■ "National Nosocomial Infections Surveillance (NNIS) System Report,	
data summary" American Journal of Infection Control 2004 Vol 32 No. 8 pg 470-485 CDC Devaney, Lynn, et al. "Improving Surgical Wound Classification – Why It Matters" AORN (August 2004) Vol 80, No. 2 pg 208-223	
 Devaney, Lynn, et al "Wound Classification" presentation NSQIP Spring 2008 Howard, J.M. "Ultraviolet Radiation in the Operating Room: A 	
Historical Review" Annals of Surgery" 160 (August 1964) 1-192 American College of Surgeons' National Quality Improvement Project	